



# Data Collection to Support Resilience Planning

Integrated Resource and Resilience Planning Workshop Series March 4, 2021













**Gerald Lindo** Renewable **Energy unit** Lead CCREEE





**Gail Mosey Senior Researcher** NREL



**Erick Conde Project Management Specialist SEED Office** USAID









**Nate Blair** Distributed Systems and **Storage Analysis** NREL



Juanita Haydel **Senior Vice** President ICF



Sertaç Akar **Systems Engineer** NREL

**James Elsworth Research Engineer** NREL





AN INSTITUTION OF





# The USAID-NREL Partnership

USAID and NREL partner to deliver clean, reliable, and affordable power to the developing world. The USAID-NREL Partnership addresses critical aspects of deploying advanced energy systems in developing countries through:

- Policy, planning, and deployment support.  $\bullet$
- Global technical toolkits.





www.nrel.gov/usaid-partnership

# **Global Technical Platforms**

The USAID-NREL Partnership's global technical platforms provide free, state-of-the-art support on common and critical challenges to scaling up advanced energy systems.





www.re-explorer.org

www.greeningthegrid.org www.i-jedi.org







www.resilient-energy.org

# **Resilient Energy Platform**

Developed through the USAID-NREL Partnership, the Resilient Energy Platform provides expertly curated resources, training materials, tools, and technical assistance to enhance power sector resilience.

The platform enables decision makers to assess power sector vulnerabilities, identify resilience solutions, and make informed decisions to enhance power sector resilience at all scales.









Developed through the USAID-NREL Partnership, the Resilient Energy Platform provides expertly curated resources, training materials, data, tools, and direct technical assistance in planning resilient, sustainable, and secure power systems.

#### https://www.resilient-energy.org





# Polling











# Resilient Energy Platform







# What type of organization or agency do you represent?



















# How important is the collection of reliable energy sector data for energy planning?

Essential Very Important Somewhat Important Not Important





**Resilient Energy** Platform



AN INSITUTION O

75%

















AN INSITUTION OF

# **Resilient Energy** Platform

### How important is the collection of reliable energy sector data for your work?

67%











## How easy is it to get data from stakeholder agencies in the energy sector?









AN INSITUTION O

#### Resilient Energy Platform

75%







# How frequently do you need access to data from other agencies/entities in the energy sector









AN INSITUTION OF

# **Resilient Energy** Platform









# Polling

# What are the biggest challenges you face when fulfilling data requests? (Choose all that apply)

We have insufficient staff or time to dedicate to collection or preparation Data is not in a digital format Data is digital but hard to find Data is digital but hard to retrieve (e.g. it is in separate departments, geographical locations or legacy systems Data is digital and accessible, but it is in the wrong format for the request Our data is confidential; we rarely share it

Cybersecurity concerns

Other





**Resilient Energy** Platform



AN INSITUTION OF









# Data Needs for an IRRP Process

Nate Blair Strategic Energy Analysis Center



### **Generation Technology Price** Forecasts

- Solar PV, land-based wind and batteries have all been dropping in costs and are anticipated to drop further
- Other generation technologies more site-specific and not likely to have cost reductions (geothermal, biopower, diesel generators, etc.).



ATB cost projections compared with literature





2050

NREL | 14

## Historical Load Data

- Collecting aggregate load data for the system is critical for modeling.
- Load growth forecasting is also critical – growth due to electrification (EV's, heat pumps, etc.) should be estimated.
- For small systems, planned industrial load growth will also be critical.
- Note that net load can also be a function of distributed generation (likely rooftop PV).





NREL | 15

### Transmission and Distribution Maps and Data

- This data needs to be collected and fed into the grid modeling software for the IRRP
- This data is typically complex and stored in a database.
- There is typically some significant effort to enter this data into grid modeling software if not already in that format.





# **Fuel Price Forecasts**

- Fuel prices are often very local and difficult to extrapolate from US or other data sources.
- Fuel costs are impacted strongly by subsidies or delivery costs.
- Some sources for Fuel Price Forecasts:
  - US EIA Annual Energy Outlook Data
  - US EIA Short-Term (next few years)
  - IEA Global Oil Forecast

#### Figure 1. World oil prices in three cases, 2000–2050

2020 dollars







IEA. All Rights Reserved

17

	-
-	
	-
	2050

### Wind Resource Data

- Key to understanding both landbased and offshore (more likely in the future)
- Need Hourly or sub-hourly wind data
  - available for much of Caribbean at NREL
     Wind Toolkit
  - <u>https://www.nrel.gov/grid/wind-toolkit.html</u>
  - Wind Prospector interactive tool
  - https://maps.nrel.gov/wind-prospector
- DOE Wind Maps and Data
  - https://windexchange.energy.gov/mapsdata



### Weather Data- NSRDB

- NREL National Solar Radiation Database
- Free 30-min data on a 4km x 4km grid for most of the Western hemisphere and a square surrounding India
- Ongoing effort to create new, more precise data and for a larger extent
- 20+ years (and TMY) data
- https://nsrdb.nrel.gov/



NREL | 19

#### Available via automatic download in both SAM and REopt

#### Evolution of the National Solar Radiation Database (NSRDB)



248 weather stations with 26 *Solar measurement* stations [ERDA, NOAA, 1979] 239 *modeled* stations with 56 partial measurement stations [DOE, NOAA, 1994]



#### NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

1,454 *modeled* locations [DOE, SUNY-A, NOAA, 2007] 1,454 *modeled* locations [DOE, CPR, 2012]



### **Other Resource Data**

- Geothermal
  - Geothermal resource is very local. If available, likely worth pursuing.
  - IRENA <u>https://www.irena.org/geothermal</u>

#### Hydro

- Very local data unfortunately
- into the future.

#### - Very important to confirm hydro changes (rainfall) over last 10 years and forecasted



NREL | 21

### Utility Rates for Behind-the-Meter-URDB

- Utility rate database hosted on Open Energy Information (OpenEI) with utility rates for the United States & some international locations
- 70%+ of US load captured via NREL updates every year.
- International Rates can be added and are included currently at a low level.

<b>penEI</b>	Information	Data A	pps	
Utility Rat	e Datak	oase		
La Ut	ility <b>F</b>	late		
D	АТА	ΒA	S I	E
Electric II	tility Rat	tes		
The Utility Rate Data utility companies mai	pase (URDB) is a free ntained by the U.S.	ee storehouse o . Department o	of rate struc of Energy's <mark>I</mark>	ture information from utiliti Energy Information Adminis
51,839 rates have bee	n contributed for 3	,817 EIA-recogr	nized utility	companies.
Browse U.S. Rates				n yn defen ffen ywer a'n dwedd fer a'r
Browse International	Rates 🗷			
		Look up Rates I	by Zip Code	Zip code

#### Available via automatic download in several NREL tools.







#### Current URDB capabilities

NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

## Can accurately represent:

B

Residential and Commercial Rates, including
1) Time-of-Use rates
2) Tiered rates
3) Seasonal rates
4) Flat rates
4) Rates with applicability rules

# Cannot accurately represent:



- 1) Nested tier rates
- 2) Racheting tier blocks
- 3) Excess demand charges







## **Electric Load Information**

OpenEI wiki	Apps Datas	sets	
Find o	data Add data	About CKAN	
License	Dataset	<ul> <li>Activity Stream</li> </ul>	
CC0 1.0 OPEN DATA			
	Comr	nercial and	
Author	all TM	<b>1Y3</b> Locatio	
Office of Energy Efficiency			
& Renewable Energy (EERE)	This datase	This dataset contains hourly load p	
(	This datase	t also uses the Resident	
La Contact	Browse file	in this dataset accessi	
Eric Wilson	This datase	t is approximately 4.8G	
	July 2nd, 20	013 update: Residential	
C Share on Social Sites	years to the more general 365 0		

### OpenEl also contains typical electric loads for three levels of residential usage and many different types of commercial buildings and various locations

Search Login Sign Up

Harvested, read orignal on DOE Opendata

#### Residential Hourly Load Profiles for ons in the United States

rofile data for 16 commercial building types (based off the DOE commercial idential buildings (based off the Building America House Simulation Protocols). tial Energy Consumption Survey (RECS) for statistical references of building types e available for over all TMY3 locations in the United States here.

ible as individual files and as commercial and residential downloadable ZIP files. iB compressed or 19GiB uncompressed.

High and Low load files have been updated from 366 days in a year for leap s in a normal year.

#### Available via automatic download in both SAM and REopt

NREL | 24

### Incentive Information

- Incentives, subsidies and import taxes are all critical to planning.
- not always up to date

Find Policies & Incentives Near You



energysage 🚯 Get competing



# For example, DSIRE USA catalogs many US federal, state, and local incentives-



NREL | 25

## NREL models designed for different timescales





#### Another way to categorize models and tools....



**Temporal Resolution** 





#### **Regional Energy Deployment System** (ReEDS) Model



Brown, Maxwell, Wesley Cole, Kelly Eurek, Jon Becker, David Bielen, Ilya Chernyakhovskiy, Stuart Cohen, et al. 2020. <u>Regional Energy Deployment System (ReEDS) Model</u> Documentation: Version 2019PDF. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-6A20-74111. www.nrel.gov/analysis/reeds

#### Contact: Wesley.Cole@nrel.gov

Purpose: Regional Energy Deployment System (ReEDS) is a linear optimization model of U.S. electricity investment and operation focused on issues of particular importance to developing renewable energy technologies (RETs).

#### Key Features:

- Produces scenarios of electricity system development from 2010-2050 under a range of policy, technology, and economic conditions.
- Optimizes sequential 2-year tranches of investment and operation for least cost.
- Detailed spatial structure resolves patterns of load and resource distribution, allows representation of transmission needs.
- Full suite of electricity generating technologies: fossil, renewable, nuclear, and electricity storage systems.
- Reduced transmission system obeys Kirchoff's voltage law, distributes power from generators to loads realistically.
- RETs are supported by detailed resource assessments and supply curves.
- Statistical calculations describe how RETs contribute to system adequacy and affect operational reliability, assuring that ReEDS-developed electricity systems are robust.
- Results Viewer at: https://cambium.nrel.gov/

#### Resource Planning Model (RPM)



Mai, T.; Drury, E.; Eurek, K.; Bodington, N.; Lopez, A.; Perry, A. (2013). Resource Planning Model: An Integrated Resource Planning and Dispatch Tool for Regional Electric Systems. 69 pp. NREL Report No. TP-6A20-56723.

#### NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

#### Contact: Trieu.Mai@nrel.gov

<u>Purpose</u>: New capacity expansion model with high spatial and temporal resolution that can be used for mid- and long-term scenario planning of regional power systems. Developed with a flexible platform to model a large number of focus regions.

#### Key Features:

- Endogenously and dynamically considers grid integration of renewable resources, including transmission and interconnection availability and costs, renewable resource limits and output characteristics, and dispatch options for conventional generators, in its optimal decision-making.
- RPM is an hourly chronological model with a highly discretized regional structure, and includes unit commitment and economic dispatch modeling within its capacity expansion framework.
- Initial version was developed to model the power system in Colorado, but the model can be adaptable to other regions.

#### Current Developments:

- Inclusion of other BAs in the Western Interconnection to better represent boundary conditions and to enable modeling of other Western U.S. systems (e.g. Southwest)
- DC optimal power flow modeling
- Unit- and line-specific modeling within the focus study region
- Improved clustering methods developed to more rigorously aggregate solar, wind, and demand for model representation



#### PLEXOS Integrated Energy Model



Recent study:

Lew, D., et al. (2013). *The Western Wind and Solar Integratio*. *Study Phase 2*, NREL/TP-5500-55588. Golden, CO: Nationa Renewable Energy Laboratory.

#### NATIONAL RENEWABLE ENERGY LABORATORY

#### Contact: Gregory.Brinkman@nrel.gov

<u>Purpose</u>: Commercial electric power system simulation tool that can help understand the issues associated with integration of variable and uncertain generation technologies.

#### Key Features:

- Performs unit commitment and economic dispatch at nodal or zonal resolution at time steps as small as 5 minutes.
- Optimizes usage of enabling technologies, such as demand response, plug-in vehicle charging, and electricity storage.
- DC optimal power flow modeling
- Ability to model different types of ancillary services, market horizons, and forecast windows
- Databases available for the eastern and western interconnections in the U.S.
- Used for major studies including the Western Wind and Solar Integration Study (Phase 2) and the Eastern Renewable Generation Integration Study
- Mixed Integer Program
- Ability to model stochastic optimization

#### Current Developments:

- Integrated gas-electricity sector energy model
- Ability to run on a High Performance Computing cluster
- Refining database for the eastern interconnection





#### Integrated Resource and Resilience Planning in the Energy Sector: Data for the 2<sup>nd</sup> R



Juanita Haydel, ICF Senior Vice President – Energy Markets

04 March 2021

#### Integrated Resource and Resiliency Planning (IRRP)

A method for developing a power system investment plan that explicitly addresses risks and resiliency concerns, including potential impacts from climate change.

- Identifies and evaluates a range of investment portfolios using a set of criteria, including cost, reliability, and environmental impacts.
- Assesses portfolio resiliency against uncertain variables, such as climate change, regulatory changes, etc.
- Generates a "least-regrets" plan that is robust and resilient under a range of possible futures that reflect inherent risks and uncertainties
- Insurance against worse outcomes
- Leads to better outcomes and informs decision making





#### **Traditional Power Sector Planning vs. IRRP**

Cost

Reliability

Resilience

Environment

Land Use

Climate

Change

Local

Traditional Planning

Added by IRRP

Cumulative investment; system costs

Unserved energy; unserved peak demand; transmission congestion

Ability to prepare for and adapt to changing conditions and recover from disruptions

Air quality (SOx, NOx emissions); ash production

Land area used for power plants

Greenhouse gas emissions

A Resilient Power System can resist, adapt to, and recover quickly from low-probability, high-risk extreme events, including extreme natural disasters and human-caused attacks. It can provide reliable and secure power as longer term climate changes occur and affect the power system.

REFERENCE CASE	PORTFOLIO STRATEGIES	SCENARIOS	METRICS	LEAST-REGRETS PORTFOLIO
<ul> <li>Reference assumptions for all resource options.</li> <li>Assumes existing laws and policies.</li> <li>Power sector planning model(s) identifies the Least Cost Plan.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A set of modeling assumptions and constraints that reflect government policies and objectives that are under a country's control.</li> <li>Examples include renewable targets, environment goals, or fuel diversity objectives.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reflect uncertainty in key outputs and assumptions: climate, demand, fuel, technology.</li> <li>Risk and resilience assessment reveal key considerations.</li> <li>Strategies examined under each scenario. Model assesses performance of each strategy under uncertainty.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Objective basis for evaluating the outcomes of strategies under alternative scenarios.</li> <li>System costs, capital requirements, environmental, and other economic metrics can be used.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Based on an assessment of the metrics, the strategy that performs best under the examined scenarios.</li> <li>The generation, renewable resources, and energy efficiency investments that derive from this Least-Regrets Strategy are collectively called the Least-Regrets Portfolio.</li> </ul>

#### **Integrated Resource and Resilience Planning**



# **IRRP** requires a toolbox of models and approaches to support analysis and decision making

**Demand forecasting approaches**, which may range from econometric approaches to sector and end-use based models, should be capable of assessing climate impacts.

**Energy efficiency assessment methods**, including end-use load monitoring and analysis data to represent load, load shapes, and load shape impacts; building stock and usage data; and economic evaluation approaches.

**Transmission planning models** to examine load flows under alternative conditions and set limits in the long-range planning model, and conduct grid integration analyses.

**Distribution planning tools** to assess losses, optimize new connections, and improve collections.

**Resilience assessment methods**, including water resource modeling tools.

**Financial analysis tools** to assess revenue requirements, capital requirements, and rate impact analysis tools.

<u>A long-term power sector planning model</u> to assess future optimal investment decisions under a range of policy, economic, and technical inputs. A model should cover 20 to 30 years, examine capacity expansion and dispatch decisions, track environmental outcomes, represent transmission limits, and represent the characteristics of all resources.
IRRP in Tanzania: Considering Climate and Other Risks

### Key Uncertainties are Considered in Scenarios

- Fuel and Investment Risks: Heavy dependence on a single fuel can increase volatility of supply and costs.
  - IRRP can increase fuel diversity
- Climate Risks: Frequent drought in Tanzania has reduced hydro-electricity generation and increased cost of service
  - IRRP can help optimize the hydro contribution to the portfolio
- **Demand-side Risks**: Rapid demand growth and poor load factor increases load shedding, resulting in customer dissatisfaction
  - IRRP can manage demand growth, improve load factor, increase revenue and improve customer satisfaction

# The Telegraph

### HOME » NEWS » WORLD NEWS » AFRICA AND INDIAN OCEAN » TANZANIA Tanzania turns off hydropower as drought bites

Low water levels mean power cuts as electricity crisis bites in East African nation



### By Our Foreign Staff 11:32PM BST 09 Oct 2015

Tanzania is shutting down all its hydropower plants because drought is causing low water levels in its dams, plunging the country deep into an electricity crisis.

The East African nation relies on water to produce more than a third of its power.

## **Tanzania IRRP**

Goal: Identify least-regrets power sector plan that is robust and resilient in that performs the best under a broad range of potential techno-economic futures that reflect inherent risks and uncertainties



# **Application of IPM in IRRP**

- IPM, along with other key tools, is used to examine a limited number of **portfolio strategies** under a range of scenarios
- A **portfolio strategy** reflects a possible future resource mix that reflects company or governmental policy
  - Focus on small non-hydro renewables
  - Natural gas as a primary fuel source
  - Large scale hydro investment
- These alternative portfolios are examined under a range of **scenarios** that reflect uncertainty in fact ors outside a planner's control (e.g., fuel price or demand growth).
  - Using IPM, we examined 3 portfolios under 7 different scenarios for 21 unique IPM runs.
- **Recommended portfolio strategy** is that strategy that performs well under a broad range of scena rios reflecting uncertain policy and economic futures.
  - Performance is determined by quantitative metrics to measure how plans rank against a baseline and one a nother.

### **Data Requirements for IPM®**

- A combined production cost and capacity expansion simulation model
- A bottom-up partial equilibrium model of the electric sector
- A scenario driven forecasting tool to support decision making



#### **Resource Supply**

- Gas Supply Coal Supply •
- Hydro Supply
- **Biomass Supply**
- **Renewable Potential**



#### **Existing Power** Plant Variable Cost

- Fuel Transportation
- Fuel Costs
- Heat Rates
- O&M Costs



#### **New and Existing Power Plants**

- Coal
  - Oil & Gas Steam
  - Combustion Turbine
  - Combined Cycle
  - Geothermal
  - Nuclear
  - Hydro
  - Renewables
  - Cogeneration

### **Retrofit Technology**

- SCR, SNCR, and new NO<sub>x</sub> control options
- Wet and Dry FGD
- ACI and Fabric Filter
- Cobenefits for Hg



#### **Transmission**

- New FERC Policies
- Long-term tradeoffs with Generation
- Grid operation

### **Electric Demand**

- Hourly Demand Peak & Energy Growth
- Reserve Margin
- Steam Demand

#### **Power Plant Dispatch and Grid** Operation

• Economic dispatch



### **Specifications**

- $NO_x$ ,  $SO_2$ , Hg and  $CO_2$ • MACT vs. Cap and Trade
- Banking and **Progressive Flow**
- Control • National, Regional and State Programs
- Renewable Portfolio Standards

#### Operation

- Maintenance
- Outages
- Must Run

# **Projections**

0

`**⊅**>

--∓>

---**|**->

--**M**⊳

----

\_<mark>Z</mark>>

A

Т

---->

0

Ν

**Power Prices Fuel Prices** Allowance Prices Asset Values **Dispatch Decisions Capacity Build** Decisions Emissions Compliance Costs **Compliance Decisions Plant Retirement** Decisions

### **IPM's Inputs and Outputs**

#### **Integrated Resource and Resilience Planning**



#### **Integrated Resource and Resilience Planning**



## **Resource Portfolio Strategies Development**

Three Portfolios Strategies were examined								
Portfolio A: Reference	Portfolio B: Limited Large-Scale Investment	Portfolio C: Renewable- centric						
<ul> <li>An optimal capacity expansion plan under the Base Scenario</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Focuses on baseload fossil resources in the near to mid term.</li> <li>Focus on small scale non-hydro renewables: No hydro resources above 100 MW prior to 2027</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sustainable resources10% of end-use demand is required to be served by non-hydro renewable resources.</li> <li>Relative to the Portfolio A, this portfolio has 406 MW more non-hydro renewables and 147 MW less oil in 2036</li> </ul>						

#### Integrated Resource and Resilience Planning



## **Scenario Development**

- Fuel and Investment Risks: Heavy dependence on a single fuel can increase volatility of supply and costs.
- Climate Risks: Frequent drought in Tanzania has reduced hydroelectricity generation and increased cost of service
- Demand-side Risks: Rapid demand growth and poor load factor increases load shedding, resulting in customer dissatisfaction

- Scenarios are used to evaluate the performance of selected Portfolio Strategies under alternate future conditions
- Scenarios should be designed to represent specific risks driving the electric power markets
- Scenarios may be defined by only one risk (e.g., high load growth) or by a combination of changes (lower capital costs result in increased development which then results in load growth)
- The risks captured in scenario analysis for Tanzania included:
  - Drought
  - Fuel Outages
  - Higher Load
  - Large Scale Outages
  - Development Delays
- Other risks may include *new technology cost and performance, existing unit performance, energy efficiency/DSM performance, regulatory/policy risks*

Screening Portfolios Using  $\rightarrow$  Risk Analysis

### Seven Scenarios were examined

### **Baseline Scenario**

- Assumes 6.3% annual average peak and energy demand increase (CAGR through 2036), and 7,411 km transmission from 2018 to 2021
- Financing for incremental generation need is unconstrained

### **Drought Scenario**

- Portfolio capacity additions/retirements are fixed throughout the run horizon
- For hydropower output, the drought scenario assumes on average lower future generation capability due to drought, which is derived by WEAP simulation of historical drought pattern magnitude and frequency

### **High Load Scenario**

- The High Load Scenario uses 2016 PSMP reference load forecast which has an 11.1% average annual growth
- Demand side resources are available to serve the energy load
- In addition to the portfolio under consideration, incremental capacity additions are allowed to meet load

Hydropower plants output is based on the Water Evaluation and Planning System (WEAP) model simulation for baseline weather conditions Portfolio capacity additions/retirements included through the run horizon; additional capacity builds are allowed to meet the incremental load

### Moderate Load

- Uses the average of Baseline and High Load Scenario load growth
- Demand side resources are available to serve the energy load
- Incremental capacity additions are allowed to meet load

### Stiegler's Gorge Outage

 Assume equipment failure temporarily prevents hydropower output from Stiegler's Gorge

### Gas Pipeline Outage

 Gas supply from Mtwara pipeline is limited in certain years due to assumed pipeline outage

### Delayed Development Scenario

- Stiegler's is delayed by 3 years
- Northwest transmission grid update and the EKT transmission project are delayed by 3 years

### **Climate Risks to Hydropower Generation**

- Historically largest source of electricity
- Decline in hydropower reliability in recent years
- Currently 46% of generation capacity
- Changes in hydropower generation predominantly affected by changes in flow, which closely tracks rainfall
- Severe consequences as a result of drought:
  - High costs (~\$70 million) from the use of incremental thermal generation plants, and reduced economic growth in drought years by more than 1% (Watkiss et al., 2011)



## **Model Selection and Data Collection**

### Models:

- Integrated Planning Model (IPM): used to examine a limited number of portfolio strategies under a range of scenarios
- Water Evaluation and Planning (WEAP): used to model hydropower output under drought and increasing temperature scenarios



### Scenario Development & Key Uncertainties (Sensitivities)

### **Drought Sensitivity Assumptions:**

- Representative of power system performance under extended drought conditions that reduce amount of water available for power generation.
- Decline in annual average precipitation
- 5% for Tanzania, 8% for the Ruaha basin
- Increase in annual average temperature by 1.5°C relative to 1970 – 2000

Drought Scenario - Tanzania Total Annual Rainfall



### Scenario Development & Key Uncertainties (Sensitivities)

### **Drought Scenario Results**

- 30% reduction in streamflow
- 8% reduction in water supply delivered
- 12% reduction in hydropower generation



### **Total Annual Hydropower Generation (GWh)**

### **Details of the Other Scenarios**

- The Pipeline Outage Scenario assumes that the Mtwara pipeline is unavailable to deliver gas from Mnazi Bay to any gas-fired generator north of Mtwara due to equipment failure.
- The outage on the Mtwara pipeline is assumed to result in the loss of natural gas delivery for one full year, and to occur every ten years.
- In this scenario, Songo Songo gas is still delivered to Somanga, Ubungo I, Tegeta, Songas, Somanga Fungu (IPP), and the Sumanga Fungu (TANESCO) plants.
- The first pipeline outage occurs in 2024 and the next outage occurs in 2033.

- The Stiegler's Gorge Outage Scenario assumes that the hydropower plant is unavailable due to equipment failure.
- The outage at the Stiegler's Gorge plant is assumed to result in loss of output for one full year, and to occur every ten years.
- This scenario is modeled with the first outage occurring in 2024 and the next outage occurring in 2033.

- The Delayed Development Scenario assumes that a number of electric power projects are delayed in coming online. The projects that are delayed include:
- The Stiegler's Gorge hydro plant (delayed by three years from 2021 to 2024)
- NW Grid transmission line (delayed by three years from 2020 to 2023)
- The ECT import Phase 1 (delayed by three years from 2020 to 2023)
- New coal plants will not be available to be in service until 2024
- New geothermal plants will not be available to be in service until 2024

#### Integrated Resource and Resilience Planning



# Metrics provide an unbiased means to evaluate the results of the IRRP analysis

Company Objective	Metric				
Minimize cost	Levelized NPV (\$/MWh) or \$/MWh of generation portfolio costs				
Rate stability/manage risks to ratepayers (Cost Resilience)	Range of \$/MWh levelized costs or difference between base cost and highest cost scenario				
Maintain reliability	Frequency and total MWh of loss of load events (Does the portfolio meet a one day in ten-year NERC requirement for reliability)				
Environmental stewardship	% carbon reduction by 2030 period relative to the 2017 period				
Minimize Renewable Curtailment	Renewable output/total available renewable generation				
Achieve Diversity	% concentration on one type of asset or fuel				
Minimize Land Use	Acres/MW of land utilized for each technology option				

# Interpret Results -> Decision-Making

The Power Sector Master Plan supports decision-making:



# Lessons Learned: Enhance and institutionalize data collection and management

- Data management is critical to developing appropriate assumptions and robust analysis
- Limited information access and reliability needs to be corrected on an ongoing basis to improve data sets going forward
- Documenting source information and rationale for assumptions is critical to allow lookback and replication ("Assumptions Book")
- Develop standardized templates for data processing
- Continually improve modelling tools and collection of more granular data
- Perform after the fact performance assessments for input forecasts; maintain records of reasons for deviations of forecasts from actuals to assess how well the forecasting has performed so as to improve forecasting performance going forward
- Develop tracking of new customers through standardized interconnection surveys for smaller customers, and regular contact with regional development and economic growth agencies

### Lessons Learned: Create a collaborative, sustainable process

Enhance data availability and planning outcomes through part icipation of key ministries

 Use stakeholder input to develop assumptions, inputs, and scenarios and same or similar tools, as much as possible, in order to ensure continuity of planning

• Institutionalize IRRP

- Best practices in IRRP is to repeat the analysis every 2 to 3 years
- Establish a requirement including a calendar/timetable for development, stakeholder engagement and review, and co mpletion of the IPSMP
- A framework for stakeholder input can be established over time
- Establish regulatory frameworks to support IRRP including key components (e.g., EE)



## Any Questions?

Juanita.Haydel@icf.com











# **Key Data Collection Process and Methodology**

# Gail Mosey and Sertaç Akar National Renewable Energy Laboratory 4<sup>th</sup> March 2021





# Summary

### What is the purpose of the key data collection framework? $\bullet$

- Standardizing data points gathered from communities based on key data requirements to run priority tools and models
- Why do we prioritize data for tools and models?
  - To ask communities in a more targeted way for data. We have a comprehensive list of data points which are being prioritized relative to the tools and models we often use with communities (e.g., Engage, SAM, REopt Lite)

### What do we target for communities?

- The idea is to decrease the weight on communities for data collection and gather the necessary data and information to move forward in an organized and streamlined way
- From a research perspective as we continue to use the data template, we can see where communities seldom have the data needed and then integrate that into our tool and approach development
- We have a comprehensive list of data needs with data for some communities already populated







**Resilient Energy** 



# **Some of the Data Sources**

tools and models often use with communities.

Some examples of data sources presented below:

- ETI Island Snapshot & Island Playbook
- Caribbean Center for Renewable Energy & Energy Efficiency (CCREEE)
- Alaska Energy Data Gateway (AEDG)
- Alaska Tribal Energy Data Book
- Hawaii Energy Transition Initiative (2008-2018)
- US Virgin Island Utility data (Provided by Dan Olis from previous studies)
- Guam Power Authority Annual Reports (2016, 2017, and 2018)
- EIA Territory Energy Profile Reports (2016, 2017, 2018, and 2019)
- Grid Integration Data collection efforts; Katz, Cherminofsky, Zinaman)











### Previously, NREL gathered data from various data sources to build a key data framework prioritized relative to the

Handbook on Conducting Grid Integration Studies (Created by the NREL International Team for USAID



# NREL Tools & Models for Communities

国

### **Tier 1: High Level**

 Engage: Cross-sectoral energy system planning and simulation

# **Tier 2: Regional Level**

- Renewable Energy Integration and Optimization (REopt)
- The Regional Energy Deployment System (ReEDS)



# **Tier 3: Project Level**

- System Advisor Model
   (SAM)
- **PVWatts** Calculator
- The Jobs and Economic
   Development Impact model
   (JEDI)



# **Key Data Structure**















# Key Data Form Snapshot

- Resource
- Solar
- Wind
- Geothermal
- Parcel Data
- Generation / Distribution locations

Resource Data / Geospatial Data



- Electricity generation mix
- Annual generation data
- Historic generation data
- Installed capacity by type
- Pipeline projects

### **Power Plants**



- Roads
- Railway
- Aviation
- Marine
- Number of vehicles
- Number of EVs

Transportation



- Total Area
- Population
- GDP, GDP per capita
- Human development index (HDI)
- Electricity rates (\$/kWh)









**Resilient Energy** Platform









# Tier 1: **ENGAGE Model Structure**

### Supply

- Biomass
- Coal
- Dispatchable
- Electric Grid
- Gas-CC
- Gas-CT
- Geothermal
- Hydropower
- Nuclear
- Solar CSP
- Solar PV Distributed
- Solar PV Utility
- Wind Offshore

### Storage

- Battery Storage
- Thermal Storage
- Water Reservoir
- Natural Gas Storage

### Conversion

- Natural Gas (NG) Turbine
- CC NG Turbine
- NG Boiler
- Hydropower Generator
- Combined Heat & Power (CHP)











### Transmission

- AC Power Transmission
- Heat Pipes
- Local (No Loss)
- Local Power Transmission
- Natural Gas Pipeline
- Power Lines

### Demand

- Electrical Demand
- Flat Load
- Heat Demand
- Hospital
- Hotel (Large)
- Hotel (Small)
- Midrise Apartment
- Office (Large)
- Office (Small)
- Restaurant
- Retail
- School
- Supermarket
- Warehouse



# Tier 1: ENGAGE Input Data Format

Available Resource Force all resource Minimum resource consumption (%/100) Resource scale factor Resource unit Ratio of resource area to energy capacity Installed area (m2)

# Resource Constraints

Carrier consumption cost (\$/kWh) Carrier export cost (\$/kWh) Carrier production cost (\$/kWh) Cost of energy capacity (\$/kW gross) Cost of storage capacity (\$/kWh) Depreciation rate (\$/yr) Fractional yearly O&M costs (%/100) Interest rate (%/100) Yearly O&M (\$/kW) Cost of resource area

# Monetary Costs









AN INSITUTION





engage



# Tier 1: **ENGAGE Input Data Snapshot**

C engage	Gas-CC Gas-CT	Geothermal	Hydropower	Nuclear	Solar - CSF	<b>,</b>	Solar - PV Dis	stributed	Solar - PV	Utility	Wind -	- Offshore	Wind - Onshore		
Valu	Je Source Value Source	e Value Source	Value Source	Value Sou	urce Value	Source	Value	Source	Value	Sour	rce Value	Source	Value		
Scenario Tag			No. 1		11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						the set of the set of the set	2010	24 (100) (d) (100)		
Capacity Optimization (L/U) Linear/	Units Linear/Units	L linear/linits	ar/linits	Linear/Units	Linear/Units		Linear/Units		Linear/Units		Linear/Unit	ts	Linear/Units		
Capacity Optimization (E/F) Expan	C engage	Bettery Storens Water B	Anteriosie Nation	d Gen Stockers	Expansion/Fixed		Expansion/Fixed		Expansion/Fixed		Expansion/Fil	ixed	Expansion/Fixed		
Porourco Constraints	- chigage		VALUE - PROPERTY	and and a second se	Electricity		Electricity		Electricity		Electricity	/	Electricity		
Available Resource		Value Source Value	Scurce Value	s Source	Solar Profile		Solar Profile		Solar Profile		Wind Profil	la	Wind Profile		
Force all resource	Scenario Tag				FAISE		TRUE		FAISE		EALSE	ie i	FAISE		
Minimum resource consumption (%/100)	Capacity Optivization (L/U)	Linear/Ukitt Linear/Ukitt	Lineat/D	NY IS	0		FALSE		0		- O		0		
Resource scale factor	Cepadry Optimidadien (UT) D	Partition ID/partition/fixed	. Expension	70.02	Ť	_	1710010			_	1		1		
Resource unit ener	Technology Constraints	0 000000	6200200	TANK MARKANA CONTRACTOR	11 (BANAR9101111)		100		and a succession of the second	A PARTY CONTRACTOR	energy per o	cap	energy per cap		
o of resource area to energy capacity (m2/kW)	Allow energy production	n C engage	Natura	Gill (NG) Turbine	CC NS TAIMIN	3125	Differe : Dy	dropwa+ Gantarati	Cambined find	& Power (CBP)		C7.5			
Minimum installed area (m2)	Allow amergy concumption	T	2000	A Sectors	Unite Second	al Walke	Seleira	Volue St	Makin .	Sec. 20					
Maximum installed area (m2)	Every capacity scale factor	100	Scenarie Tez						and and						
Technology Constraints	Drengy efficiency (%/100)	Captacity Dr	stimitation (L/U) Linearth	WHIT I'V	in a set of the set of	Grandbar	1 310	and there is	Harandikisa						
Allow energy production	Epont canadity (40)	To Cepacity D	ptimization (5,91 Expe	1000		2					-				
Allow energy consumption	Espan comer		Sourgy Carrier 6	C en	aade										
Energy capacity scale factor	Intalled Capacity (kW)	Techno	Nogy Constraints		3-3-	AC Powe	r Transmission	Heat Pi	pes	Local (No Los	s) Local Pe	ower Transmission	Natural Gas Pipeli	ne Power Li	ines
Energy efficiency (%/100)	Maximum installed everyy capacity (KW)	S D Allow av	with busynesses			Value	Source	Value	Source	Value	Source Val	lue Source	Value	Source Value	Source
Plant Parasitic Efficienty (%/100)	Maximum Installad energy capacity (System) (KW)	To Atlose erver	Eb covertuation		Scenario Ta	g									
Export capacity (kW)	Microsoft in the second	search coby	City IX also factor		Capacity Optimization (L/U	) Linear/Un	ts	Linear/Units	Lin	ear/Units	Linear	/Units	Linear/Units	Linear/Units	
Export carrier	Renaive into the 100	Part Facautic R	Briest/W(300)		Capacity Optimization (E/F	Expansion/F	ixed	Expans				Resource	Constraints		Technology
Intalled Capacity (kW)	Technology Lifetime (yrs)	Der	ort capacity NWT		Energy Carrie	r Electricity	6	Elec					Ratio of resource area		10000000000000000000000000000000000000
Maximum installed energy capacity (kW) 5	Meestary Lotti		Export carrier		Technology Constraint	s	66	C e	ngage	Availa	ble Demand	Force all resource	to energy capacity	Demand Scale Factor	Allow
num installed energy capacity (System) (kW)	Certier consumption cost (5/kWh)	broat le	ed Ceptecky (NW)		Allow energy production	TRUE		T 📎	nguge		one of entitients	rorec un resource	(m2/kW)	Demana Scale Factor	consur
Minimum installed energy capacity (kW)	Conview export cost (\$.0kWh0	Maximum estated exer	py considery (NIP)		Allow energy consumption	TRUE							1		70
Minimum operating use of capacity (%/100)	Carrier production cost (5/KWP)	Mailmum installed energy capaci	ty (System) (VIII)		Distance	e	km	Floreteles	Domand	Value					18
Ramping rate (%/100)	Cost of starspan a capacity (5/4/4/4/	Neveral estated area	ty capacity (cm)		Energy capacity scale facto	r 1	20205300550550	Electrica	i Demano	Source	CALCE	TALCE			
Technology Lifetime (yrs)	Depressation rate (5/a/s	Minimum operating use afo	catacit/(10/200)	Er	nergy efficiency per distance	e 0.85	1 (2019-Mid)		Lond	Value	FALSE	FALSE			
Monetary Costs	Fractional yearly 0&M costs (%/100)	4472	442 F016 (M/3005		One way Transmission	TRUE		T Play	LUau	Source	ENICE	FALCE			
Carrier consumption cost (\$/kWh)	interest rate (%/100)	Technois	BY LINESTIN (JUS)		Monetary Cost	s		Heat I	homand	Value	FALSE	FALSE			
Carrier export cost (S/kWh)	Yearly OBM (5/kW)	Candial company	ine cost 2 Milthi	Carri	ier production cost (\$/kWh	) 0	1	0 near t	remanu	Source	EALCE	FALCE			
Carrier production cost (S/kWh)	Ceshof resource area	Carrier ore	art cost (SATIN)	Cost of e	energy capacity (\$/kW gross	) 1384	1 ( 2019-Mid)	4 Hor	nital	Course	FALSE	FALSE			
Cost of energy capacity (\$/kW gross)	Storage Contracts	Carrier product	ion cost (S/With)		Depreciation rate (S/yr	) 0		noa	pital	Value	EALCE	EALCE			
Cost of storage capacity (\$/kWh)	Maximum storage capacity (KWI)	10 Oost of energy capa	inty (5/WW groos)	Fractiona	al yearly O&M costs (%/100	) 0		Hotel	(Large)	Course	TALSE	TALSE			
Depreciation rate (\$/yr)	Retage loss tata (%/100/hour)	Cost of storage a	apacity (5,1081)		Yearly O&M (\$/kW	) 35	1 ( 2019-Mid)	Hoter	(Laige)	Value	EALCE	EALCE			
Fractional yearly O&M costs (%/100)	Witibl atorage level (XVV)	Depres	lation rate (5/41) (0)	/	0	0		0 Hotel	(Small)	Source	TALSE	TALSE			
Interest rate (%/100)	Maximum storage time (hours)	Practiceal yearty 04	M costs (%/300) 0		٥	0		0	(sinish)	Value	FAISE	FALSE			
Yearly O&M (S/kW)	Minimum starage capacity (KWP)	later	escrete (%/2001 0	Same		0	200344	Midrise /	artment	Source	TALL	TADE			
Cost of resource area			any care press) 25	a (2013-662)	C. CONTRACT		2 HEW CP.	n'		Value	FALSE	FALSE			
Storage Constraints		0001	orresource area 0		0.05	0.0		Office	(Large)	Source					
Maximum storage capacity (UMA)					1.505:00					Value	FALSE	FALSE			
Storage loss gate (% /100 /beau)					1.502+06			Office	(Small)	Source		201.000 Store			
Initial storage level (W/b)					0.002				and any second distance of the second of the	Value	FALSE	FALSE			
Ministrationage level (kwil)								Rest	aurant	Source					
									>777.5	Value	FALSE	FALSE			
								Re	tail	Source		39.4946-C			
										Value	FALSE	FALSE			
								Sci	lool	Source					
								10000		Value	FALSE	FALSE			
								Super	market	Source					
								the second se	and the second se						
										Value	FALSE	FALSE			









AN INSITUTION OF





# **Tier 2 and Tier 3 Models:**

# **REopt Lite**

The REopt<sup>™</sup> Lite web tool helps commercial building managers:

- Evaluate the economic viability of grid-connected PV, wind, battery storage, CHP, and thermal energy storage at an existing site,
- Identify system sizes and battery dispatch strategies to minimize energy costs,
- Estimate how long a system can sustain critical load during a grid outage.



# SAM

The System Advisor Model (SAM) is a free techno-economic software model that The Jobs and Economic Development Impact (JEDI) models are user-friendly can model many types of renewable energy systems and facilitates decisionscreening tools that estimate the economic impacts of constructing and operating making for people in the renewable energy industry. power plants, fuel production facilities, and other projects.

SAM's financial model also calculates financial metrics for various kinds of power projects based on a project's cash flows over an analysis period.





**Resilient Energy** 



# ReEDS

The Regional Energy Deployment System (ReEDS) is NREL's flagship capacity planning model for the power sector. It simulates the evolution of the bulk power system—generation and transmission—from present day through 2050 or later.

![](_page_69_Figure_16.jpeg)

# JEDI

![](_page_69_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_22.jpeg)

# **Data Collection Approach**

- Align and prioritize data requirements relative to the tools that are often used with communities to identify critical data needs
- Gather data for pilot communities and run a sampling of tools/models to show effectiveness of data gathering strategy and methodology
- Identify gaps in available data for each community
- Create portfolios for each community with output from tools and models
- Based on data gaps identified, suggest areas where proxy (synthetic) data could be used and develop proxy data for the data gaps in a selected community
- Then use proxy data for a sample community plus current data to conduct a representative tool/modeling run to show effectiveness of proxy data

![](_page_70_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_8.jpeg)

**Resilient Energy** 

![](_page_70_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_70_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Thank You!

gail.mosey@nrel.gov

AN INSITUTION OF

CARICOM

sertac.akar@nrel.gov

![](_page_71_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_71_Picture_8.jpeg)




Q&A

# Please enter your questions in the Q&A tab on the MS Teams Live Meeting.









Thank You!

### Feedback Survey

#### https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/6DV8BYJ













## Upcoming Workshops

- March 9: Climate Vulnerability Assessments with CIMH, NREL, ICF
- April Date TBD: Storage for Resilience with NREL